

# AMERICA IN THE WORLD: MR. MAGOO AT THE HELM

---

FAR FROM PROVIDING DIPLOMATIC LEADERSHIP, THE BUSH ADMINISTRATION'S  
MYOPIA HAS WREAKED HAVOC ON THE GLOBAL STAGE.

---

BY CHAS W. FREEMAN JR.

**I**n the final days of the last century, then-Secretary of State Madeleine Albright described the United States as “the indispensable nation.” “We stand tall,” she claimed, “and we see further than other countries into the future.” She did not seek the views of any foreigners on either point. It is not recorded that many — if indeed any — agreed with her. What she said was, of course, music to American ears. But what we and non-Americans thought at the time of her smugly bumptious articulation of our self-regard is now moot.

The policies the United States adopted in the first decade of this century have thoroughly refuted her theses. A great many foreign governments now fear that Washington will behave like the ever-self-congratulatory cartoon character Mr. Magoo, who wanders destructively through a reality he

---

*Chas W. Freeman Jr., a Foreign Service officer from 1965 to 1994, was ambassador to Saudi Arabia from 1989 to 1992, and deputy chief of mission and chargé d'affaires in Beijing (1981-1984) and Bangkok (1984-1986), among many other overseas postings. He also served as principal deputy assistant secretary for African affairs from 1986 to 1989 and as assistant secretary of Defense for international security from 1993 to 1994.*

*Ambassador Freeman has been, inter alia, chairman of Projects International, Inc., since 1995 and president of the Middle East Policy Council since 1997. He is the author of The Diplomat's Dictionary (revised edition) and Arts of Power, both published by the United States Institute of Peace in 1997, and of numerous articles on aspects of American statecraft and diplomacy.*

misperceives wreaking havoc he determinedly misinterprets as success.

Take the Middle East, for example. This is the region that, in one way or another, has been the principal focus of American foreign policy in recent years. It is also the region in which the United States has most consistently shown a preference for bluster, boycotts and bombs, and a concomitant disdain for diplomacy. I am not speaking here simply of Iraq or Iran. We have refused dialogue and attempted to dissuade Israel from negotiating with Syria. We have done the same even more adamantly with Hezbollah (which, as a consequence of the U.S.-sponsored Israeli bombing campaign of 2006, became the leading force in Lebanese politics).

Meanwhile, in the name of bolstering Lebanese independence from political interference by Syrian and Iranian outsiders, we have vigorously interfered in Lebanon ourselves. We have repeatedly proclaimed that it would be a sin to talk with Hamas (which, thanks to elections we insisted take place, is now the democratically empowered governing authority in all areas of Palestine not directly occupied by Israel). We have tried hard to congeal Sunni Arab antagonism to Shiite Persians into an Arab bloc we hope will join us in ostracizing and punishing Iran, which the Israelis and we repeatedly threaten to assault from the air.

These U.S. policies have not gone over well. Recent developments strongly suggest that they have resulted in decisions by all concerned in the Middle East to work around the United States rather than with us or through us. Consider Israel's resort to Turkey (rather than U.S. “shuttle diplomacy”) to manage proximity talks with Syria. Or Lebanon's turn to Qatar to broker the peaceful realignment of its politics, notwithstanding our investment in them. Or

Israel's reliance on Egypt to mediate a cease-fire agreement with Hamas. Or the Palestinian president's decision to enlist Arab conciliators to work out Fatah's differences with Hamas, rather than concentrating on an American-proclaimed "peace process" that most in the region have come to view as a cruel fraud. Or Israel's recourse to Germany to reach understandings with Hezbollah. Or Saudi Arabia's effort to reach a modus vivendi with Iran, to align the Muslim mainstream against extremism, and to broker renewed peace between Sunnis and Shiites in preparation for interfaith dialogue with Jews and Christians.

All these political openings touch on interests that Washington sees as vital. All of them are taking place notwithstanding longstanding American objections, and all of them are unfolding in our diplomatic absence.

### **Leaving a Void**

This is not just because Mr. Magoo has seemingly succeeded Uncle Sam at the helm. In some measure, it's because the United States has taken sides in disputes with respect to which we had traditionally maintained at least a pretense of evenhandedness. We are therefore seen as part of the problem rather than part of the solution. It is also because promiscuous efforts by the United States to impose military solutions on problems that force cannot resolve have left no room for American diplomacy. The resulting default on reality-based problem-solving by the U.S. has created a diplomatic void that others are now filling.

This trend toward going around the United States has been aggravated by the arrogant and insulting phrasing of some U.S. policy pronouncements. The undisguised disdain of some American envoys for the United Nations, the World Court and regional organizations, and their open con-

---

---

***The Bush  
administration's allergy  
to reality-based  
problem-solving has  
created a diplomatic  
void that others  
are now filling.***

---

---

tempt for the views of the international communities these represent, have also disinclined others to work with us if they can avoid it. Washington's political marginalization in the Middle East is a predictable result of such diplomacy-free foreign policies.

What could not have been predicted is the reputation for incompetence our country has acquired. This has touched even our armed forces, despite their well-deserved reputation as the most professional and lethal practitioners of the arts of war on the planet. Our interventions in Afghanistan and Iraq were meant to showcase this element of American power, underscore our omnipotence, and intimidate anyone tempted to resist our hegemony.

Instead, these military campaigns have had the paradoxical effect of demonstrating the strategic limitations of the use of force, eroding the deterrent value of our unmatched military prowess, and proving the efficacy of asymmetric warfare as a counter to our strength.

Scofflaw U.S. behavior, the ill-considered uses of military power in wars of unilateral choice, and the contraction of freedom in the American homeland have indeed transformed

our relationship with the world — but to our grave disadvantage. The abuses at Abu Ghraib, Bagram and Guantánamo, and the practice of "extraordinary rendition," have dishonored our traditions and defiled our international reputation. Militarism has debilitated our alliances, friendships and partnerships, and corroded our ability to lead.

Much of the world is now seriously disenchanted with the United States. Most (though not all) of these self-inflicted wounds derive from our response to the atrocities of 9/11 and our policies toward the Middle East. We have shown not only that we can shoot ourselves in the foot, but that we can reload with exceptional speed and do it again and again.

As Israel rained American-supplied bombs on Beirut in 2006, Secretary of State Condoleezza Rice famously predicted that Lebanon's pain represented the "birth pangs of a new Middle East." She was right about that, but the Middle East now emerging seems to be one in which the United States no longer has convening power, political credibility or persuasiveness. It is a region in which all countries fear our military might but no country — not even Israel, despite its dependence on American subventions — defers to our leadership.

In our own hemisphere, too, without many noticing, a major ebb in U.S. influence has taken place. Latin America's governments may have little in common beyond a commitment to some form of democracy and social justice, but they share a determination to assert greater autonomy from the United States. To this end, they are courting investment from China, opening markets in Europe, stalling the Free Trade Agreement of the Americas, dissenting from the "Washington Consensus," and crafting regional institutions and forming partnerships that not only exclude the

United States but are sometimes openly antagonistic to it.

Political Washington's apparent disinterest in a region it long commanded and its ideologically induced inability to respond to opportunities there (like those in a changing Cuba) have facilitated these trends. The Council on Foreign Relations' recent declaration that "the era of the United States as the dominant influence in Latin America is over" may be overstated, but it is not easily rebutted. The regional agenda in Latin America is increasingly set there, without reference to the United States.

### Going Their Own Way

This is true in Africa, as well. Even though the Bush administration has mounted a very significant continent-wide effort against HIV/AIDS, in most respects we are substantially less engaged on the continent than are

---

---

*As we prepare to enter  
this century's second  
decade, we have within  
us the potential to rise  
to the challenge of  
global leadership.*

---

---

China, Europe and India. Africans have taken the lead — so far not very effectively, to be sure — in crisis management of issues on their continent like the mayhem in the Congo, the genocidal warfare in Sudan, and the collapse of democracy and decency in

Zimbabwe. In doing so, they have largely sidelined the United States and other outside powers.

In response, Washington unilaterally decided to create a U.S. military combatant commander for the African continent and sought to station him and his staff there. Logic and precedent supported this initiative: American flag officers now sit at the head of combatant commands in most other regions of the globe. The prominent role of such uniformed proconsuls abroad reflects the extent to which our foreign relations have become skewed toward reliance on military instruments of influence.

The forward presence of American generals and admirals with transnational responsibilities, unmatched fiscal resources and wide authority to draw on the immense capabilities of our armed forces makes them the most active and visible face of our



**D & M Auto Parts Corp**

*Your source for*

- Professional, courteous and prompt service
- Highest quality automotive parts
- Motor pool supplies
- Automotive and industrial tires
- Forklift & generator parts
- Motor oils and chemicals
- Runflats & severe duty brakes
- We're here to help, just ask!

**Serving those who serve America  
since 1971**

56 Engineers Dr. Hicksville, NY 11801  
Tel: (516) 822-6662 Fax (516) 822-5020  
E-mail: info@dmauto.com

**www.dmauto.com**



*be our guest*

**Location**

2-3 blocks: **Metro** at Foggy Bottom;  
Georgetown

4-9 blocks: **Main State**, Kennedy  
Center; White House;  
GW University

Close to: Sights, shopping, dining,  
nightlife, grocery stores

**Value**

- spacious suites with full  
kitchens
- long term rates with per diem
- free deluxe continental  
breakfast
- free exercise room, newspaper
- free high-speed Internet access
- free local phone calls

**202.333.8060**

**877.736.2500**

WASHINGTON SUITES  
*Georgetown*  
washingtonsuitesgeorgetown.com

country abroad. Because they are on the scene, moreover, they tend to be more in touch with regional trends and realities than officials in Washington. That's one reason so many American ambassadors are so fond of them.

As the United States saw it, the establishment of an Africa Command would elevate Africa's symbolic importance in our foreign policy. But its supposed beneficiaries have reacted badly to the idea. They have seen it as an attempt to re-establish an outside military presence on their newly decolonized continent and as an indication that American military adventurism might soon extend there. So for the time being, at least, US-AFRICOM remains a fixture of life in Stuttgart rather than a presence to be reckoned with in its area of operational responsibility.

### **Europe, Too**

Meanwhile, the Russian Federation is turning out to be more Russian — less democratic and more bullying — and a good deal more insubordinate than its would-be patrons in Washington had imagined. Even before Moscow's intervention in Georgia served to revive concerns about its aggressive assertion of a version of the Monroe Doctrine in its "near abroad," not a few Americans had taken a second look into Putin's eyes and seen him for what he is: a KGB guy playing a czar with post-Soviet characteristics.

There is, to be sure, no ideological challenge from Russia, which lacks both the will and the means to compete with the U.S. on a global scale as the Soviet Union did. So, all the predictable punditry to the contrary notwithstanding, there is no prospect of a "renewal of the Cold War." But there is every prospect that, far from emerging as some sort of diplomatic version of Tonto to the United States' Lone Ranger, as some had hoped,

Russia will continue to be a troublingly assertive and independent-minded force.

The United States' strongest international ties have, of course, been with Europe, where continentwide integration is in the final stages of erasing the divisions of the Cold War. The European Union is less than the sum of its parts, but it has emerged as the dominant factor in its region and adjacent areas. The North Atlantic Treaty Organization has meanwhile expanded to what most Europeans consider Europe's natural defense perimeter. Only a minority favors further enlargement, especially to bring in nations still deeply divided about membership in NATO or with active territorial disputes with neighbors.

The fact is that, as the Cold War recedes in memory, Europeans are charting their own course even on issues of great importance to the United States, like who should belong to NATO or how to deal with the return of Russia to assertive nationalism and China and India's rise to wealth and power. They value the United States, if at all, mainly as a participant in the Eurasian balance of power rather than as the protector of Europe against a credible external security threat. Having come to question our wisdom, most Europeans seem to doubt that there are still many compelling reasons to defer to us. For the first time in the five decades since they embraced American leadership of the Atlantic community, Europeans seem comfortable ignoring Washington's views or rejecting them outright. Russia's reassertion of its military power on its periphery does not seem to have fundamentally altered or reversed these trends.

This is in part because the extraordinary transatlantic solidarity of 9/11 has given way to sharp differences over international law and comity, privacy and due process of law, and the desirability of multilateral approaches

to transnational issues like climate change. Very few in Europe have any sympathy for claims by American politicians that 9/11 changed everything, justifying the suspension of individual rights and the separation of powers insisted upon by Enlightenment thinkers like America's founding fathers. To a distressing extent, therefore, the Atlantic community is no longer united by shared ideals but ominously divided by emerging differences over them.

Transatlantic disagreement on core values bodes ill for the prospect that these values will prevail in a world in which the center of gravity is migrating to the Asian ends of the Eurasian landmass.

### **A Paradoxical Presence in Asia**

Curiously, given the much ballyhooed shift of global wealth and power to Asia, the trend toward regional assertiveness and the decline of American influence is in some ways least obvious in the Asia-Pacific region. This reflects the realities of Chinese and Indian power in relation to the nations on their periphery. With the notable exception of Pakistan, India's neighbors have reconciled themselves to its hegemony in South Asia. The United States has recognized India's primacy there and does not seek to undermine or thwart it. Indeed, Washington's continuing effort to overcome both international and domestic opposition to the controversial U.S.-India nuclear deal evidences a decision to give relations with New Delhi priority over both longstanding global nonproliferation policies and the interests of an increasingly overwrought but equally nuclear Pakistan.

In East and Central Asia, by contrast, Chinese hegemony remains an unwelcome conjecture, not a reality. Beijing has repeatedly assured its neighbors that it does not and will not

seek to dominate them, but most are nonetheless inclined to play it safe and pursue a carefully calibrated policy of continued association, including military cooperation, with the United States. Much of the Cold War pattern of East Asian alliances with the United States, with Japan as its linchpin, therefore persists. From the point of view of the Asian participants in these alliances, their purpose is not, as in the past, to contain China but to ensure that it will fit unthreateningly into a regional balance bolstered by American power.

Meanwhile, China itself is firmly focused on its own economic and social development. It very much wishes to avoid needless confrontations with the United States. As a result, in comparison with other regions, East Asia remains relatively disinclined to challenge American views and prone to accommodate them when possible.

---

***Washington has shown  
a preference for bluster,  
boycotts and bombs,  
and a concomitant  
disdain for diplomacy,  
in the Middle East.***

---

This deferential stance has not, however, precluded disagreements with Washington over issues like how to deal with Burma (Myanmar) and North Korea, or the development of regional groupings or institutions that exclude Washington. Such groupings are a growing phenomenon, largely centered on the Association of South-

east Asian Nations. Some of them involve various Asian-only combinations; some involve Europe. Some include Australia and India while others exclude one or both.

Washington has inadvertently accelerated the trend toward exclusion of the United States from regional groupings in the Asia-Pacific region by erratic participation in key meetings, and by its insistence that they focus on terrorism or various Middle East-related issues, with respect to which Asians do not share American perspectives or obsessions. Meanwhile, China and India have taken out their own insurance policies against American hegemony, in the form of regular trilateral meetings with Russia devoted to promoting multipolarity, respect for the United Nations Charter, and other offsets to U.S. efforts to dictate and dominate the world order.

The fact that other countries are

*Executive  
Lodging  
Alternatives*



**Interim Accommodations for  
Corporate and Government Markets**

*Apartments,  
Townhouses &  
Single Family Homes*

***“FOR THE EXECUTIVE ON THE MOVE”***

✱

finder5@IX.netcom.com

Locations throughout Northern Virginia and D.C.  
Units fully furnished, equipped and accessorized  
Many “Walk to Metro” locations  
Pet Friendly

5105-L Backlick Road, Annandale, Virginia  
Tel: (703) 354-4070 Fax: (703) 642-3619



**Capitol Hill Stay**

Furnished apartments & carriage houses  
1-3 blocks to U.S. Capitol  
Great neighborhood, charming places  
All units recently renovated  
Walk to metros, shops, restaurants  
Antiques, quality furnishings  
Short, flexible, or long-term  
Lots of experience with per diem  
Locally owned and operated

View our up-to-date availability:

**www.capitolhillstay.com**



**(800) 445-8960 (202) 544-4419**

willing to take greater responsibility for managing the affairs of their own regions, even if they have been moved to do so mainly in reaction to perceived U.S. errors of commission and omission, should probably be seen as a positive development. But it is certainly not a good thing for our government to be excluded from conversations on major regional or global issues. The risk is that our interests will be misunderstood or ignored when actions are taken that affect us. U.S. policies since the end of the Cold War — particularly during the eight years of the George W. Bush administration — have tended to isolate the United States, take us out of the diplomatic game, and leave us at the mercy of decisions and arrangements that others increasingly craft in our absence.

### Lessons Learned

One can learn more from catastrophe and failure than from victory or success. So, students of U.S. foreign policy since the catastrophe of 9/11, rejoice! There is a lot of material from which to extract lessons for future foreign policy.

A good place to start might be 9/11 itself. Among other things, the shocking attack on our homeland that day showed that, in the post-Cold War world, if the United States launches or sponsors military operations in other people's homelands, we should expect them to find a way to retaliate against ours. This caution remains relevant. Without intending to do so, we have installed a lot of incubators and created a lot of training opportunities for terrorists in Iraq, Gaza, the West Bank and Lebanon, as well as in the border regions of Afghanistan and Pakistan.

Meanwhile, we have repeatedly adjusted our military campaign plans in Iraq and Afghanistan. But we have yet to adjust our diplomacy. And we have not come up with a strategy to overcome the appeal of anti-Ameri-

can terrorism, turn its adherents against it, slash the numbers of its recruits, or even capture its most notorious spokesmen.

Those best qualified to accomplish these tasks are mainstream Muslims, acting out of their own self-interest and in concert with us. Cultivating support in the Islamic world should therefore be a principal focus of U.S. foreign policy. The struggle to outlaw and suppress terrorism cannot succeed without the full cooperation of allies and friends around the world.

Reinvigorating our alliances and partnerships is as essential to this task as it is to the renewal of foreign respect for American leadership in general. In this regard, a few of the lessons that might be drawn from the global and regional trends of recent years stand out. Three have to do with rediscovering diplomacy as an alternative to militarism. Two are more substantive.

- **Woody Allen was right: “Eighty percent of success is [indeed] showing up.”** At the moment, the U.S. military shows up a lot more than anyone else at the regional level. We need diplomatic counterparts to our regional combatant commanders. They should be forward-deployed and endowed with the resources and authority to address regional as well as bilateral interests. They should have a mandate to implement strategies that integrate the political, economic, cultural and informational, intelligence and military elements of our national influence.

- **Our leaders at all levels and in all branches of government need to rediscover the art of listening.** Listening is essential to successful relationship management. If we don't pay attention to the opinions of others, they will be — as we have seen — less likely to find our views persuasive. If we don't attend to their interests, they are unlikely to buy into ours. Diplomacy is not preaching to others

about what they must do, an approach that neither builds partnership nor elicits cooperation. Diplomacy is persuading others that they should serve our interests because their interests coincide with ours.

- **As that consummate realist, Otto von Bismarck, advised, “Be polite. Write diplomatically.** Even in a declaration of war one observes the rules of politeness.” Only small boys, hicks and clueless speechwriters think it clever to call foreign leaders or countries names. Statesmen understand that insults just deepen the commitment of those they target to the error of their ways. Sometimes negotiated solutions are the only solutions available at an affordable price. Discourtesy closes the door to negotiated solutions and locks it shut. Getting others to do things our way is difficult enough. Denigrating their character or putting derogatory labels on them can make it impossible.

- **We need to clear the foreign policy decks as rapidly as we can.** Our plunge into the quicksand of endless warfare abroad has already done great damage to our prestige and influence abroad and considerable injury at home. These wars are not sustainable.

We are ceding our civil liberties and mortgaging our posterity to foreign bankers. The money that might rebuild crumbling American infrastructure is being squandered on the destruction and botched reconstruction of vast areas of the Middle East. The wars there bring grief, pain and uncertainty to America, as well as to the places where they are fought. They confer no benefits and divide Americans from each other and from the world, diverting us from urgent tasks of vital importance to our future.

We have no plan for ending them, yet we cannot afford to let them continue if we wish to recover our domestic tranquility and international standing.

## Charting a New Course

After taking a hard look at where the ship of state (and State) has ended up, we can develop strategies to deal with the many pressing issues we have left largely unattended in recent years, such as managing our relations with emerging regional orders and dealing with rising powers like Brazil, China, India and Russia, re-emerging countries like Germany and Japan, failing states like Pakistan, and angry, isolated nations like Iran, North Korea and Burma (Myanmar).

Functional issues that have been neglected include reform of the global trade, investment and monetary systems to protect our prosperity, and that of the many other countries that depend on the value of our currency; and the long-overdue formulation of effective multilateral responses to transnational issues like terrorism, pandemic disease, the environment,

---

*We have been long on  
assertive patriotism but  
short on realism, vision  
and statesmanship —  
qualities we have  
historically exemplified.*

---

climate change and security of food, energy and natural resource supplies.

These are all formidable challenges, but there is no reason to doubt that we can meet them — if we marshal the world's peoples and their resources behind a common effort. For decades,

the world looked to the United States for solutions. We Americans were good at providing them. We have the capacity to do so again.

In the self-indulgent final decade of the last century, Americans saw little reason to focus on foreign affairs. In the first decade of this century we have been long on assertive patriotism but short on realism, vision and statesmanship — qualities we have historically exemplified. Those traits enabled us to create a new order of peace, progress and prosperity after World War II. And we have the talent and ability to define a world order for the 21st century, as well.

As we prepare to enter a new decade, we have within us the potential to rise again to the challenge of global leadership. And we have the duty to do so. If the United States leads, we can once again persuade the world to follow. ■

## Washington's Clear Choice in Corporate Accommodations

Today's business traveler does have a choice when it comes to short-term accommodations

**CAS**  
Corporate Apartment  
Specialists, Inc.

- ◆ Rates within federal government per diem
- ◆ Completely furnished apartments
- ◆ Walking distance to Metro
- ◆ Washer/Dryer in unit
- ◆ Pet Friendly
- ◆ Cable TV/Local telephone service included
- ◆ V/MC/Amex/Diners Club accepted
- ◆ All utilities included
- ◆ Maid service available upon request

### Location, Location, Location!

Choice neighborhoods of Washington, DC, Suburban Maryland, Northern Virginia

Toll-Free: 800 914-2802  
Tel: 703 979-2830 Fax: 703 979-2813  
E-mail: [sales@corporateapartments.com](mailto:sales@corporateapartments.com)  
Web: [www.corporateapartments.com](http://www.corporateapartments.com)

**Flexcar**  
SMALL RENTAL TRUCKS

## Capturing the vibrant beauty of life

Award-winning artist Cynthia Farrell Johnson brings a unique perspective to the moments and events of our lives.



Her vivid original paintings and fine art reproductions celebrate daily routine and explore culture.

Cards and giclee prints of Cynthia's art are available in the online Gift Shop at [www.cfjfinearts.com](http://www.cfjfinearts.com).



P.O. Box 8604 • Silver Spring, MD 20907-8604  
Phone: (240) 475-1047 • Fax: (301) 589-3428  
<http://www.cfjfinearts.com> • [cyn@cfjfinearts.com](mailto:cyn@cfjfinearts.com)